The markets mandating climate-related disclosures by 2025 represent 56% of the global GDP

Mandatory Climate-Related Financial Disclosures

- Which markets are affected?
- Why are climate disclosures becoming mandatory and why now?
- What are the similarities among the reporting requirements from different markets?
- When does each mandate come in effect and for whom?
- What do you need to disclose in each market?

Which markets are affected?

- 🌌 Brazil
- 🏴 Canada
- Mong Kong
- 🔟 EU
- Mew Zealand
- Singapore
- F Switzerland
- ****** UK
- USA

99

...an organization's stance on climate change is critical viability information for investors.

— Financial Stability Board

Why are climate disclosures becoming mandatory — and why now?

- To ensure standardization of carbon accounting.
- 2. To involve the industry in national carbon accounting and to reach the Paris agreement targets.

99

Better data from companies about the sustainability risks they are exposed to, and their own impact (...) is essential for the successful implementation of the European Green Deal.

— European Parliament

What are the similarities among the reporting requirements from different markets?

All markets base the format of climate-related disclosures on the TCFD framework



The TCFD Framework

4 key areas:

- 1. Governance
- 2. Strategy
- 3. Risk management
- 4. Metrics & Targets

1. Governance

- Board oversight on climate-related risks & opportunities
- Management's involvement in assessing & managing climate change



2. Strategy

- Short-
- Medium-
- And long-term risks and opportunities

- The impact of the identified risks and opportunities on your business
 - Future strategy
 - Budget
 - Scenario-based resilience analysis

	From (years)		Comment
Short- erm	0	1	Time horizon based on ABI-specific profile of climate-related risks for the sectors and geographies in which we operate. 1-year plans are developed and executed every year. These plans are in line with our medium- and long-term strategies although these are reevaluated to address current and immediate business needs.
Medium- erm	1	3	Time horizon based on ABI-specific profile of climate-related risks for the sectors and geographies in which we operate.
ong-	3	10	1

ΙTο

(years)|(years)

have the highest impact on the business (in millions of dollars) are given the highest punctuation. Uncertainty is measured on a scale of 0 to 1.

(C1.3a) Provide further details on the incentives provided for the management of climate-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).

	incentive	inventivized	
Corporate executive team	Monetary	Emissions	The corporate executive team oversees our 2025 Sustainability Goals. Progress is tracked through a comprehensive sustainability goal dashboard. The
	reward	reduction	sustainability goal dashboard includes our 2025 sustainability goals that entail: Reduction of Scopes 1, 2, and 3 GHG emissions, % of renewable electricity
		target	contracted, % of farmers skilled, connected, and financially empowered, % of watershed protection programs implemented, water usage (hl/hl), energy usage
		F#	*** - * returnable packaging, % of recycled content in primary packaging, and number of start-ups successful in the accelerator program. The corporate

structure to ensure accountability for achievement and best-practice sharing.

Monetary Emissions Business Unit Managers oversee site/facility level implementation of corporate sustainability initiatives to advance the 2025 Sustainability Goals that entail:

Supply chain

engagemeni

reward reduction

Business unit manager

am oversees strategic planning at the highest levels of the organization and cascades initiatives throughout the organization to beverage and grated facilities. Target progress is shared throughout the organization and linked to a variable executive compensation structure to ensure y for achievement and best-practice sharing. a member of the corporate executive team, which is upheld to overseeing the 2025 Sustainability Goals, Progress is tracked through a

ive sustainability goal dashboard. The sustainability goal dashboard includes our 2025 Sustainability Goals that entail: Reduction of Scopes 1, 2, missions, % of renewable electricity contracted, % of farmers skilled, connected, and financially empowered, % of watershed protect mented, water usage (hl/hl), energy usage (MJ/hl), % of returnable packaging, % of recycled content in primary packaging, and number of startul in the accelerator program. The CSO oversees the strategic planning of the Sustainability Council and carries this target (or KPI) and is directly or achievement of dashboard KPIs. Target progress is shared throughout the organization and directly linked to a variable executive

Board oversees public climate-related sustainability disclosures and the progress of several 2025 sustainability goals. The goals overseen entail: Scopes 1, 2, and 3 GHG emissions, % of renewable electricity contracted, % of farmers skilled, connected, and financially empowered, % of watershed protection programs implemented, water usage (hl/hl), energy usage (MJ/hl), % of returnable packaging, % of recycled content in primary packaging,

and number of start-ups successful in the accelerator program.

Reduction of Scopes 1, 2, and 3 GHG emissions, % of renewable electricity contracted, % of farmers skilled, connected, and financially empowered, % of watershed protection programs implemented, water usage (hl/hl), energy usage (MJ/hl), % returnable packaging, % of recycled content in primary packaging, and number of start-ups successful in the accelerator program. These are part of 2 other targets that these employees carry throughout the year. Targets are

In addition to this quantifiable financial impact indicator, we leverage our key performance indicators for our company and beverage supply chain to measure substantive

A substantive impact is referred to as a significant impact, which is defined as an event that has a net financial impact of greater than 3% for a facility and/or supply chain

(commodities). Net financial impact varies across our direct operations as it is directly correlated to the net revenue of a specific facility. For supply chain risk, this impact is

measured as 3% of the overall net revenue dependent on a specific commodity. For example, in the case of barley, this is approximately equivalent to 1 million dollars in impact. This exercise is executed by the Sustainability Team, which is led by the VP of Sustainability, and presented on an annual basis to both the Sustainability Council and

Comment

(C2.1b) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

ction of Scopes 1, 2, and 3 GHG emissions, % of r of start-ups successful in the accelerator program. to the global dashboard overseen by the CSO.

Finally, facility-level goals are developed in alignment with corporate indicators, Goals drive our performance, and the collaborative process we use to set these goals helps ensure success. All levels of our organization are aligned on this approach and are intensely focused on achieving set goals. AB InBev defines a substantive change as a risk that has a net financial impact of no less than 3% of the overall EBITDA of the facility. Once identified, these financial risks are fed into the broader, group-wide risk assessment reporting system. Most material risks will be addressed by adequate mitigation actions for which appropriate CAPEX and OPEX may be required. This definition of substantive change is applied to both our direct operations and to our supply chain.

		angagaman	
Other, please specify (Top performing facilities)		Emissions reduction target	AB inBev rewards top performing facilities that contribute exceptional efforts towards meeting their energy and greenhouse gas targets as well as other climate-related targets. Recognition for accomplishments relating to energy and greenhouse gas emissions strategies and targets includes acknowledgement public reports, opportunities to present results and approaches related to energy and greenhouse gas emissions at meetings and corder-acknowledgement facilities as a benchmark, and opportunities to share best practices related to energy and greenhouse gas emissions across the organization.
Energy manager	Monetary reward	Energy reduction target	Energy managers oversee site/facility-level implementation of corporate sustainability initiatives. Site/facility-level targets include: Total energy purchased per hectolitier and GHG emissions target across he value chain. These are part of 2 other targets that these employees carry throughout the year. Targets are directly text of these managers' compensation model.
Facilities manager	Monetary reward		Facilities managers oversee site/facility-level implementation of corporate sustainability initiatives. Site/facility-level targets include: Total energy purchased phetolities, GHG emissions target, Water use target, and Watershed protection target. Incentives are field to site/facility-level progress in addition to individual manager roles and responsibilities and directly let of their compensation model.
Other, please specify (Employees)	Monetary reward	Energy reduction target	In some regions in which we operate, site/facility employees are eligible to receive monetary rewards for achieving performance targets that include energy argreenhouse gas emissions targets as well as other climate-related targets. These incentives are directly tied to individual employee roles and responsibilities.

C2.2

C2.1b

(C2.1b) How does you

The substantive finance

Risk Management team.

(C2.2) Describe your process(es) for identifying, assessing and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities

Value chain stage(s) covered

Risk management process Integrated into multi-disciplinar

Frequency of assessment More than once a vea

Time horizon(s) covered Short-term Medium-term Long-term

Description of process At AB InBev we have develope strategic impact, e.g. a net fina associated with each specific of associated with our upstream s landscape that may impact ava develops mitigation plans. For climate data according to each supply chain risks for specific r climate scenarios. The results impacts. To mitigate potential r study of how the described pro chain. For barley, we pair agro India, these results have helpe regions in response to growing current and emerging legislatio risks in new legislation in Mexic (C2.2) Describe your process(es) for identifying, assessing and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities.

(C2.1a) How does your organization define short-, medium- and long-term time horizons?

Value chain stage(s) covered

Upstream

watershed, specific targets and goals are set based on relevant local water risks and priority action areas.

Risk management process

Integrated into multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process

Frequency of assessment

More than once a year

Time horizon(s) covered

Short-term

Medium-term

Long-term

Description of process

Various roles and individual employee assignments are responsible for goals that can directly impact energy reduction performance. As an example, barley rewarded for the agricultural development goal, and packaging experts for the packaging reduction goal. Responsibility for these role-related goals d throughout the organization. This approach reflects a level of functional integration that we believe will yield long-lasting results.

a member of the corporate executive team, which is upheld to overseeing the 2025 Sustainability Goals. The goals overseen entail: Reduction of 2, and 3 GHG emissions, % of renewable electricity contracted, % of farmers skilled, connected, and financially empowered, % of watershed programs implemented, water usage (hl/hl), energy usage (MJ/hl), and % of recycled content in primary packaging.

identifying, assessing, and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities?

3. Risk management

- Process for identifying climate risks and opportunities
- Process for managing identified climate risks and opportunities
- How climate risk & opportunity identification and management are integrated in the financial risk management

4. Metrics & Targets

- Process for identifying climate risks and opportunities
- Process for managing identified climate risks and opportunities
- How climate risk & opportunity identification and management are integrated in the financial risk management

You will need answers to...

- What financial risks does climate change impose on your company?
- What financial opportunities can your company leverage?
- What risks does our company impose on climate change?
- How does your company assess these risks?
- What are your climate KPIs?
- What are you doing about the risks present & future plans
- How are you doing it?
- How is top management involved?

Canada

• Who: Financial institutions (banks and insurance companies)

• When: 2024

• What: Climate-related risks, quantified emissions

Climate related opportunities may be omitted

Hong Kong

- **Who:** 2,500 companies listed in the HKEX
- When: 202
- What: Board and management accountability and knowledge on climate-related issues
- Classification of risks into Physical (impact from climate patterns) & Transition (market changes and adaptation)
- Scenario-based analysis with a clear scope and boundaries
- Risk prioritization based on a combination of
 - · likelihood,
 - impact,
 - · adaptability,
 - recovery
- Selection of metrics based on the following principles:
 - decision-useful,
 - understandable,
 - · verifiable,
 - · objective,
 - comparable.
- Definition of targets relative to a **baseline** and including **time horizon**



Singapore

- Who: Companies listed in SGX within
 - Finance
 - Agriculture, food and forest products
 - Energy
- When: 2024 for 2023
- What: Disclose the level of assurance (limited, reasonable)
 - Pay extra attention that their data is accurate and complete
 - Directors or managers undergo sustainability training



Who & When:

- 2024: 12,000 companies and their subsidiaries already submitting non-financial reports
 - Banks and insurance companies,
 - Companies with over 500 employees
 - Non-European companies with a turnover of over €150 million for 2023.
- 2025: large companies and their subsidiaries not currently subjected to non-financial reports
- **2028:** Listed SMEs



What

- physical and transitional classification of risks
- Evaluation of supply chain resilience
- Scenario-based adaptation plans
- Carbon removals reported in a separate, additional section
- Strategy to reduce absolute emissions in Scopes 1, 2, 3



- Physical and transitional classification of risks
- Evaluation of supply chain resilience
- Scenario-based adaptation plans
- Carbon removals reported in a separate, additional section
- Strategy to reduce absolute emissions in Scopes 1, 2, 3



Climate impact category

- Business plan for carbon neutrality transition by 2050
- Absolute emissions reduction targets for 2030 and 2050
- Scientific motivation of targets
- Annual progress report against targets
- +Scope 3 targets and reduction plans optional for the first 3 years but with motivation of omission

Switzerland

• **Who:** Companies listed in SIX with over 500 employees and over 20 million Swiss francs in assets/40 million Swiss Francs in turnover

• When: 2024

What: In accordance with the EU

Or what?

• 100,000 Swiss Francs for non-compliance

Brazil

• Who: Segments 1-4 of Regulated Financial Institutions

• When: 2021

- What:
 - Governance,
 - Strategy
 - Risk management
 - (2022) Emissions metrics & targets

New Zealand

• Who: Large companies listed in NZX (insurers, banks and investment managers)

• When: 2023



Who & When:

- · 2023:
 - Companies listed in LSEG
 - Banks
 - Insurance companies
 - Private companies with a turnover of over £500 million or over 500 employees
 - Companies with over 500 employees
 - Non-European companies with a turnover of over €150 million for 2023.
- 2025: Across the economy



- How climate-related Risks & Opportunities are:
 - Identified
 - Assessed
 - Managed
 - Integrated into the company's overall risk management
 - Related to the company's operation + time period & impact
- Climate targets & how they related to identified risks & opportunities
- KPIs & Metrics for progress against targets
- Metrics &KPI calculation methodology



- Scenario-based resilience analysis
- Scopes 1, 2, 3*

*Scope 3 optional only for the first year



Who & When:

- 2024: Large accelerated filers
 - (Aggregated global float of \$700 million)
- 2025: Accelerated filers & Non-accelerated filers

(Accelerated filers:

- Companies with a public float of \$75 million or more, but less than \$250 million in annual revenue or
- Companies with a public float of more than \$250 million but less than \$100 million in annual revenue)
- 2026: Smaller reporting companies
 - Companies with public float of less than \$250 million
 - annual revenue of less than \$100 million
 - no public float/public float of less than \$700 million.





- Scope 1, 2, 3 if Scope 3 is material
- Emissions in absolute terms & terms of intensity
 - Per unit of revenue in \$
 - Per unit of product
 - Methodology
 - Data sources
 - Assumptions
 - Breakdown per greenhouse gas



Strategy & Governance

- Board & management expertise and responsibilities
- Responsibility-related processes
- Risk assessment in 4 levels
 - Physical
 - Regulatory
 - Technological
 - Reputational
 - + Impact on business model



Additional

- 1) Offsets if offsets are used as a primary reduction strategy, company is classified as high-risk
 - Use & quality disclosed in detail
 - Discrepancies to be included in the risk assessment
- 2) Renewable energy credits Disclosed in detail
- 3) Low-carbon product revenue
- 4) Internal carbon price
 - Measurement boundaries for CO2e
 - Price
 - Price change over time
 - Rationale

Contact us!

hello@carboncloud.com